ABSTRACT
While existing work concentrates on developing QoS models of business workflows and Web services, few tools have been developed to support the monitoring and performance analysis of scientific workflows in Grids. This paper describes a Grid service for performance monitoring and analysis of Grid scientific workflows. The service utilizes workflow graphs and various types of performance data including monitoring data of resources, execution status of activities, and performance measurement. The service is supported by the Austrian Grid. The client of performance tools wants to compare the performance of different WF constructs with respect to the resources allocated in order to determine which WF construct should be mapped onto which topology of the underlying Grid.

MOTIVATION
As the Grid is diverse, dynamic and inter-organizational, even with a particular scientific experiment, there is a need of having a set of different workflows because: (i) one workflow mostly is suitable for a particular configuration of underlying Grid systems, and (ii) available resources allocated for a scientific experiment and their configuration are changed in each run on the Grid. The client of performance tools wants to compare the performance of different WF constructs with respect to the resources allocated in order to determine which WF construct should be mapped onto which topology of the underlying Grid. Therefore, multi-workflow analysis, the analysis and comparison of the performance of different WF constructs, ranging from the whole WF to a specific construct (e.g. a fork-join construct), is an important feature. Even though numerous tools have been developed for constructing and executing scientific workflows on the Grid, Grid workflow programmers suffer from the lack of supportive monitoring and performance tools. To understand the performance of WFs on the Grid, we need to collect and analyze a variety of types of data relevant to the execution of the WFs from many sources.

SUPPORTED WORKFLOW PARADIGMS
- DAG-based workflows
- Fork-join model
- Multi-workflow model
  - Different workflows for the same workflow application
  - Different refinements of workflow constructs

PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS
- Activity level
  - Capture performance metrics of activities and instrumented code regions.
  - Incrementally provide performance metrics of code regions during the execution of the WF.
  - Focus on analyzing response-time of activities.
- Workflow level
  - Monitor and analyze performance metrics that characterize the interaction and performance impact among activities.
  - Utilize WF graphs, execution status information and performance data for performance analysis.
- Multi-workflow analysis
  - Collect and store different DAGs of WFs, performance data and machine information into an experiment repository.
  - Associate refinement graphs with their performance metrics.
  - Represent the refinement relationship between workflows or workflow constructs.

IMPLEMENTATION
Workflow Invocation Control in our experiment is currently implemented based on JavaCog. JGraph and JFreeChart are used to visualize workflow DAGs and performance results, respectively. The performance analysis service has been integrated into SCALEA-G and will be part of the EU funded K-Wf Grid environment.

FUTURE WORK
We will extend the WF specification language with directives specifying monitoring conditions. These directives will be translated into code used to publish the execution status to the monitoring middleware. The performance analysis for workflows will be extended to cover AGWL (Abstract Grid Workflow Language) workflows which covers also loops.
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